Developing a Portable and Reliable Data Collection System for Evaluating Driver Behavior around Law Enforcement

TRAVIS TERRY

DR. RONALD GIBBONS

Problem

 Police officers are injured in vehicle crashes more than any other aspect of their jobs

- Between 2003 and 2012, 996 police vehicles were involved in fatal crashes in the U.S.
- •Visibility treatments added to the police vehicle serve to enhance visibility; however...
 - There are no general guidelines for lighting or painting a police vehicle
 - Most color schemes and lighting configurations are traditional or personal preference

A Common Occurrence Despite Active Lighting and Retroreflective Markings



Research Goals

- •Evaluate the effects of different lighting configurations
 - Observe changes in traffic speed
 - Observe lane change behavior
- Make recommendations for vehicle lighting based on results
- •Other variables existed in the full study
 - Paint color, retroreflection, profile and rear concepts
 - This submission focuses primarily on the lighting aspect of the research

Design Method

- •Create a naturalistic scenario
 - Virginia State Police vehicle was used to simulate routine traffic stop of a confederate vehicle
- •Five or Six (depending on location) Radar and Camera systems used to record traffic data
 - Each system was placed on the shoulder of the roadway
 - Typically 1 to 2 meters from white edge line
 - Distance between systems varied by location

Hardware Method

Cameras and radars

- Mounted 25ft high on telescoping poles
- Operated via tablet and powered by portable battery
- Each system was standalone and anchored down with sandbags
- •GoPro Camera mounted on plate atop SMS Radar System



Hardware Method

SMS Radar

- Intended to be mounted to vehicles for collision monitoring
- Utilizes 12 volts for power and communicates via CAN bus
- Communication with radars took place through custom Labview software
- Radars used primarily for speed, not lane position



GoPro Cameras

- Used primarily for determining lane position
- Camera models included Hero 3+ and Hero

Setup Method

•VSP provided a buffer for equipment crew on setup and take down to provide safety and visibility

- Setup took approximately 30 minutes and take down approximately 15 minutes
- •The data recording sessions lasted between 15 minutes to 75 minutes depending on location
 - 100 vehicles or

•15 minutes

Locations

Roadway	Location	Traffic Density	Lanes	Speed
Route 11	Shawsville, VA	Low – 80/hr	4 – Divided	60 MPH
460	Blacksburg, VA	Medium – 400/hr	4 – Divided	65 MPH
Prince William Parkway	Manassas, VA	High – 900/hr	4 – Divided, Stop Controlled	55 MPH
Interstate 81	Christiansburg, VA	High – 900/hr	4 – Divided	70 MPH
Interstate 66	Fairfax, VA	Very High – 4800/hr	8 – Divided	55 MPH

Baseline



Baseline + Red

•Added red to standard light bar

•One half red, one half blue



Max Lighting

- •Additional blue lights to standard light bar
- •Lights below side mirrors and to rear license plate
- •Light to vehicle sides (near front wheel)



Minimal Light

 Replace all lighting with single blue "cherry-top" beacon



Data



Early Results

 Addition of red to light bar improved reaction time in day time conditions over all blue light bar

 Max light conditions resulted in early merges and slower speeds

 Lack of response with Beacon indicates intensity is important – lights should not be removed from standard configuration



Further Testing and Considerations

- •Combination of red and blue and max lighting configurations
 - Field Operations Test Phase 2
 - Deploy 50 to 100 VSP vehicles with new concept configurations and rely on self report data of collision and near misses
- Explore visibility of Troopers/Officers in proximity to vehicle
 - Especially in Max Light conditions (Glare?)
- •Explore glare impact of Troopers/Officers in pursuit; i.e. following another actively lighted pursuit vehicle





Summary

•The naturalistic testing procedure allowed for practical recommendations of lighting configurations

 Portability of systems allowed them to be setup and maneuvered in a number of locations and settings

•Systems operated individually and did not require a network or external power

•Non-Invasive implementation allowed for traffic to pass normally through setup location (with buffer VSP vehicle)

•System deemed a success and project results indicate that safety can be increased based on the findings

Acknowledgements

•Special Thanks to the local Virginia State Police for their assistance throughout the 18 month Phase 1 of project

•Thanks to Virginia Tech Police and Montgomery County Sherriff's Office for assistance