## Controller Cognitive Workload Levels and Fatigue

Michele Terranova, Ph.D. Charles Alday Ali Gibson Jeanette Daigneau Pipeline Performance Group, LLC

# **Presentation Objectives**

- Illustrate why controller workload is measured and how we measure it
  - Discuss relationship between cognitive workload and fatigue



### Why measure?

- Operators of hazardous liquids, gas transmission, and gas distribution control rooms
- are required by the U.S. Department of Transportation Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration to monitor
  - the general activity of their controllers to make sure they have enough time to analyze and to react to alarms.



### Why measure?

Controllers are responsible for the operation, monitoring and control of high risk operations.

 Controllers, because they are human, have Human Capabilities and Limitations.



## **Our Methodology**

- Over the past six years we have conducted over 180 workload assessments with controllers in over 60 control rooms in the United States and Canada.
- Our methodology is based on:
  - modified NASA Task Load Index (NASA-TLX)
  - measures of task percentages.
- In 2015 we added an alertness measure to the workload assessments.
- Industry benchmarks for:
  - controller workload,
  - alertness
  - controller general activities (particular attention to responses to alarms and abnormal and emergency conditions)



## **Workload Ratings**



3/21/2017

## **Workload Ratings**

#### Mental Demand Demands Phy Of the Task Demand

Time Demand Task Demands interacting Effort with the Human Factorse



3/21/2017



### **Factors that impact Task Demands**

#### **CONTROLLER CHARACTERISTICS**





### **Pipeliner Alertness Measure**

- The 9-point scale was adapted from the Karolinska sleepiness scale (KSS) developed by the Karolinska Institute in Sweden.
- This is a self-report scale that measures drowsiness:
  - extremely alert
  - very alert
  - alert
  - rather alert
  - neither alert nor sleepy
  - some signs of sleepiness
  - sleepy, it's no effort to stay awake
  - sleepy, some effort to stay awake
  - very sleepy, great effort to stay awake, fighting sleep

## Workload Assessments: Conducted 2010-2016

Control Center Type	Number of Assessments	Number of Consoles	Number of Controllers
Hazardous Liquid	88	153	847
Gas Transmission	47	75	395
Gas Distribution	20	37	175
Both HL & Gas	24	35	181
Total	179	300	1598

60 Control Rooms in U.S. and Canada/58915 hours assessed

# Workload Assessments with Alertness Measures

- 48 workload assessments in 40 Control Rooms
- Total of 573 controllers
- Every hour for 12 hour shifts
- Every day of the week night shift and day shift
- 25,167 hours rated



### **Alertness Ratings**

very sleepy, great effort to stay awake,... sleepy, some effort to stay awake sleepy, it's no effort to stay awake some signs of sleepiness neither alert nor sleepy rather alert alert very alert extremely alert

0.1% 2.8% 0.7% 0.9% 4.1% 7.5%

34.5%

27.3%

### Alertness Ratings During Higher and Lower Workload Hours



## **Alertness Ratings**

Pipeliner Alertness Percentages	Lower Workload Hours	Higher Workload Hours	All Hours
extremely alert	18.9%	26.1%	21.9%
very alert	24.4%	29.6%	27.3%
alert	37.9%	30.7%	34.5%
rather alert	8.9%	5.8%	7.5%
neither alert nor sleepy	4.9%	3.7%	4.1%
some signs of sleepiness	1.0%	0.8%	0.9%
sleepy, it's no effort to stay awake	0.6%	0.7%	0.7%
sleepy, some effort to stay awake	3.2%	2.3%	2.8%
very sleepy, great effort to stay awake, fighting sleep	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%

## **Workload Benchmarks**

- Average workload during all hours (n=25,167)
  - Day Shift 5.2
  - Night Shift 4.9
  - Overall 5.0
- Average workload during "higher" hours (n= 4029)
  - Day Shift 8.1
  - Night Shift 8.1
  - Overall 8.1





3/21/2017

### **Task Demands Higher Workload Hours**



3/21/2017

### **Task Demands Higher Workload Hours**

	All Hours	Higher Workload Hours	Change
Pipeline Operations	15.42%	18.61%	
Monitoring	38.79%	33.82%	
Sampling, Calibrating, Proving, Testing	1.29%	1.59%	
Log Sheet Paperwork	11.31%	12.76%	
Phone Radio	6.66%	8.48%	
Face to Face Talks	9.66%	8.53%	-
Administrative Tasks	8.02%	7.29%	
Responding to Abnormal Events	4.64%	6.42%	
Responding to Emergency Events	0.10%	0.29%	
Breaks	4.63%	2.85%	

PERFORMANCE GROUP

## Discussion

- Alertness
  - The greatest percentages of the alertness ratings were at the top of the alertness scale: "extremely alert", "very alert" and "alert" (84%)
  - During higher workload hours, the percentage of "extremely alert" ratings (26%) increased as compared to lower workload hours (19%). This is expected due to higher levels of stress associated with higher workload hours.
- Workload
  - The results show a relationship between controller alertness and workload levels.
  - The higher averages were at the lower and higher end of the alertness scale but there
    was not much variability.
- Task Demands
  - Monitoring takes up the majority of controllers' time, this is followed by Pipeline Operations and then administrative work
  - During higher workload hours the task demands change somewhat:
    - Increases

Decreases

- Operations
- Log Sheet/Paperwork
- Phone and Radio
   Communications

- Monitoring
- Face to face communications
- Administrative tasks
  - Breaks

## **Questions?**



#### A Human Factors consulting group

#### We apply

- practical pipeline shift work experience
- control room management and consulting experience
- doctoral qualifications

#### To Develop

- control room management plans,
- pipeline human factors consulting
- fatigue risk management programs

For regulatory compliance and operational excellence.

Michele Terranova 301.862.7959 michele@pipelineperformancegroup.com