A Quantitative Assessment of Driver Detention Times in Commercial Motor Vehicle Operations

Naomi Dunn, Jeffrey Hickman, Susan Soccolich, & Richard Hanowski 10th International Conference on Managing Fatigue San Diego, California 23rd March, 2017

Transportation Institute

Background

Detention time

Excessive delays CMV drivers experience when loading/unloading cargo

- Industry commonly defines detention time as:
 - "any time drivers have to wait beyond 2 hours, which is the average time it takes to load or unload their cargo." – GAO, 2011

Leads to reduced available driving time & lost revenue for drivers

Drivers may violate HOS limits, improperly log their driving and duty times, and/or drive faster to make up for lost time

■ Many factors contribute to detention time

E.g., facility limitations, poor service, facility scheduling



Relationship to Fatigue

This study did not directly investigate fatigue

Main goal of HOS regulations is to reduce driver fatigue and fatigue-related crashes

Risk of fatigue-related crash increases with the number of driving hours

Excessive loading/unloading delays result in:

- Longer working hours
- HOS violations
- Tight schedules

4/26/201

Driver frustration/ stress





Research Objectives

Quantitatively assess average CMV driver detention times

- Duration and frequency of detention time
- Stratification variables:
 - Operation size (small, medium, large)
 - Operation type (for-hire, private, TL, LTL)
 - Freight type (dry bulk, refrigerated, van, liquid bulk, mixed, flatbed)



Method

- Two third-party technology vendors provided data
- GPS used to identify known delivery locations
 - Arrival and departure times at these locations
 - Couldn't separate waiting time from loading/unloading time
- Vendors provided 6 months of data
 - Vendor A: January June 2013
 - Vendor B: December 2012 May 2013
- Only Vendor A provided freight type information



Data Filtering

Initial data set contained a large number of unrealistic values

- E.g., zero, negative values, or values greater than 24 hours
- Industry experts advised setting lower and upper boundaries
 - Lower: 30 minutes minimum time to load/unload
 - Upper: 10 hours maximum stop time (arbitrary)
- Data filtering reduced data set by almost two-thirds
- Third boundary was set at 2 hours to reflect detention time
 - "Not detained" 30 minutes to 2 hours
 - "Detained" over 2 hours up to 10 hours
 - Allowed for comparisons of detained vs. not detained



Summary of the Data

	# of carriers	# of stops	% of stops
Operation Size	<i>n</i> = <i>31</i>	n = 1,348,897	<i>n</i> = 1,348,897
Small	2	271	0.02
Medium	23	277,667	20.58
Large	6	1,070,959	79.40
Operation Type	<i>n</i> = <i>31</i>	<i>n</i> = 1,348,897	<i>n</i> = 1,348,897
For-Hire TL		516,148	38.26
LTL	6	46,644	3.46
Private	7 (786,105	58.28
Freight Type	<i>n</i> = 28	n = 1,052,938	n = 1,052,938
Bulk	2	23,368	2.22
Bulk/Tank	3	62,727	5.96
Mixed	1	69	0.01
Reefer	6	51,752	4.92
Van	8	242,258	23.01
Van/Flatbed	3	30,585	2.90
Van/Reefer	5 <	642,169	60.99

Total of 31 carriers
 Nearly 1.35 million stops

- Majority of carriers were:
 - medium sized (51-500 trucks)
 - For-hire TL
 - Reefer and van freight type
- Majority of stop time data:
 - Large carriers (500+ trucks)

Private

Van/reefer combined

Transportation Institute

Overall Stop Time & Detention Time



~89% of the stops were between30 mins & 2 hours

□11% of all stops were over 2 hours

□ Average detention time = 1.4 hours

 In addition to the 2 hours loading/unloading time

Approximately 1 in every 10 stops results in a stop time of 3.4 hours

Loading/unloading + waiting



Detention Time by Operation Size



Average detention time

- Medium carriers: 1.5 hours
- Large carriers: 1.3 hours

Similar duration but different frequency of detention time

- Medium carriers: 19% of stops
- Large carriers: 9% of stops

Odds ratio

Medium vs. large = 2.17



Detention Time by Operation Type



Average detention time

- TL: 1.5 hours
- LTL: 1.5 hours
- Private: 1.2 hours
- Similar duration but different frequency of detention time

WirginiaTech.

Transportation Institute

10

- TL: 21% vs. Private: 5%
- Odds ratios
 - TL vs. LTL = 2.6
 - TL vs. Private = 4.9
 - LTL vs. Private = 1.9

Detention Time by Freight Type



Average detention time

- Reefer: 1.7 hours
- Van: 1.6
- Dry & Liquid Bulk: 1.1 hours

11

Reefer & Van freight types

- longest average duration
- most frequent detention time

Odds ratios

- Reefer vs. Dry Bulk = 6.3
- Reefer vs. Liquid Bulk= 1.9
- Reefer vs. Van = 1.1
- Van vs. Dry Bulk = 5.7
- Van vs. Liquid Bulk = 1.7

VirginiaTech.

Transportation Institute

Discussion

Unique method to identify detention time

- Only study (known to us) to obtain objective measures of detention time
- Previous studies based on self-report data from interviews
 - Makes it difficult to directly compare results
- □ Is 1.4 hours of detention time problematic?
 - Majority of stops completed in 1 hour or less (64%)
 - Problem is the snowball effect
 miss next delivery window so get held up again, run out of hours, etc.
 - Temptation to try and make up for lost time



Discussion

Drivers of refrigerated trucks were worse off than others

- Detained more frequently and for longer durations
- Van freight (i.e., dry goods, not temperature controlled) not far behind
- One in every four stops resulted in detention time
- Potential effects of cumulative stop time
 - Multiple stops per shift
 - Each stop just under 2 hours so doesn't qualify as "detention time"
 - Cumulative total still adds up to a sizeable chunk of daily working limit



Caveats

- Lack of data from small carriers and owner-operators
- □Not possible to separate waiting time from loading/unloading time
 - Need button press system to tease the two apart
- Only included stops from known delivery locations where drivers were logged as on-duty
 - No way to know what drivers were doing at stops
 - E.g., If a driver changed duty status to off-duty because of excessive waiting or loading/unloading time, that stop was not included
- □Need to link stop time data to crashes, violations, & work hours



Thanks for listening!

Naomi Dunn, Ph.D. Virginia Tech Transportation Institute Center for Truck and Bus Safety ndunn@vtti.vt.edu

