

Managing Pavement Performance at a National Level

Status, Challenges, Needs

Pavement Evaluation 2010 Roanoke, VA October 25, 2010

Public Road Network

- System Role
 - Personal Trips
 - Freight Movement
 - Deliveries
 - Intermodal Connection
 - Rapid Deployment
- Facts
 - 4 million miles
 - 76.5% local roads
 - 3 trillion VMT



Keeping America Moving To improve mobility on our nation's highways through national leadership, innovation and project delivery

- National Highway System
 - 1991 ISTEA
 - Interstate System
 - Key Corridors
 - Principal Routes
- Facts
 - 164,000 miles
 - 574,000 lane-miles
 - 95% State owned
 - 4.1% US mileage
 - 44.8% total travel





System Performance

Safer Travel



- Reduced Travel Time (congestion)
- Improved Freight Mobility
- Healthy Bridges and Pavements
- Sustainable Network

System Performance

- 33,963 fatalities
- 1.16 fatality rate
- 27% congested travel
- 26% deficient bridge area
- 8% structurally deficient bridge area
- 62% of pavements with good ride
- 6% of pavements with poor ride







NHS Composition



6

Traffic Levels on the NHS



Last Year Resurfaced



NHS Pavement Types



Highway Funding



Tables HF-10A, HF-10 and VM-3.

Allocation of Federal Funds on NHS



Source: Highway Statistics 2008 – Financial Management Information System – FY2008

NHS and IS Performance Trends



Limitations – System Evaluation

- Limited condition data
- Minimal information on key attributes
- Section based
- Use of accounting codes generalized
- Lack accomplishment information
- Construction cost information limited



Performance Management



Preparing for a National Approach

- How is performance defined?
- How is performance monitored?
- Where is the source of data?
- How can we manage performance?



Preparing for a National Approach

- How is performance defined?
- How is performance monitored?
- Where is the source of data?
- How can we manage performance?







NHS and IS Performance Trends



Annual Improvement – Good Pavements

States with Annual Improvement in Pavement Condition Percentage of System in Good Condition



Annual Decrease in Poor Condition



Distribution of Fair/Poor Condition

Distribution of Pavement Performance by State 2009 %LM in Fair or Poor Condition



I-95 in Virginia



VDOT Criteria



DelDOT Criteria

Preparing for a National Approach

- How is performance defined?
- How is performance monitored?
- Where is the source of data?
- How can we manage performance?



State Performance Monitoring



Surface Distress Types



Source: NCHRP Synthesis Report 401

Condition Indices





Critical Index

- Excellent
- Good
- Fair
- Mediocre
- Poor
- Very Poor



Preparing for a National Approach

- How is performance defined?
- How is performance monitored?
- Where is the source of data?
- How can we manage performance?



What Source of Data Should be Used?

- HPMS
 - Outside lane only
 - One direction of travel
 - One value for each section
 - Reported annually
 - Represents all NHS roadways
- State PMS Data
 - Various lanes
 - Both directions
 - Shorter sections
 - Updated frequently



Difference in Outcome - Example





Preparing for a National Approach

- How is performance defined?
- How is performance monitored?
- Where is the source of data?
- How can we manage performance?



Managing Performance

- Collective Effort
- National Goal
- Individual State Targets
- Program Design
- Linking Accomplishments to Performance



National Performance Trends



Six Year State Performance Trend

Six Year Performance Change in NHS Lane-Miles of Pavement in Good Condition 2004-2009 Change in Condition



Preparing for a National Approach

- How is performance defined?
- How is performance monitored?
- Where is the source of data?
- How can we manage performance?



Infrastructure Health Project

- Form Technical Working Group
- Identify Methods to qualify Good, Fair, Poor
- Select 3 State Interstate Corridor
- Gather data to qualify Good, Fair, Poor
- Report Performance of Corridor
- Hold National Meeting to Review Findings
- Recommend Preferred Method
- Goal:
 - 2 States Agree with Assessment of Condition of the Same Roadway

Project Objectives

- Partner with AASHTO
- Come to consensus on Tier 2 measure to qualify Good, Fair, Poor
- Evaluate differences in data collection
- Evaluate differences in data sources
- Complete project in 17 months
- Funding for 1 participant to attend from each State

Thank You



U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration