

Noise Reduction in Air-Launched Horn Antennas used for GPR Evaluation of Roads and Bridges

Geophysical Survey Systems, Inc

Jeffrey Feigin, Roger Roberts, Robert Parrillo, Jami Harmon

> www.geophysical.com sales@geophysical.com

GPR Antennas

20017

Ground Coupled

- Designed to be in contact with the ground
- May be *slightly* air-launched for road applications
- Require periodic core data for calibration
- Can not resolve thin layers
- Shielded less susceptible to RF interference





Air-Launched Horn

- Designed to be air-launched
- Safely operate at highway speeds
- Automatic velocity calculation at each scan location
- Able to resolve thin layers
- Unshielded more susceptible to RF interference





GPR Antennas



GPR Antennas



- The separation of the direct coupling from the ground coupling permits automatic velocity calculation at each scan location
- Eliminates the need for frequent calibration cores
 - The high ground clearance permits data collection at highway speeds

•

Air-Launched Horn





Air-Launched Horn Antenna - Calibration



An antenna calibration file is collected using a metal plate as a perfect reflector

Metal plate reflections are collected at the different heights that will occur during data collection Antenna Calibration file







Air-Launched Horn Antenna Calculating Pavement Velocities

Calibration cores are not required

Velocity re-calculated at each scan location



Thickness = (2WTT x velocity)/2

$$V_{L} = \frac{V_{AIR}(1 - \frac{A_{p}}{A_{m}})}{(1 + \frac{A_{p}}{A_{m}})}$$

VL = velocity of layer Ap= Amplitude of pavement reflection Am= Amplitude of metal plate reflection VAIR = propagation velocity in air 11.811 in/ns or 0.3 m/ns

Pavement layer velocities are calculated based on the pavement reflection and the metal plate reflection.

(Equation above assumes no separation distance between transmit and receive antennas. Actual equation used for antennas requires finding the roots of a 4 order polynomial equation.)



Clean horn antenna data:

RF Interference:



The cause is RF interference. But where does it come from?

Most common sources of RF Interference include:

FM Radio Towers Television Towers Security Systems 2 way radios

Not a significant source of interference: Cell Towers Power lines











Previous efforts of attempting to shield the antenna have been unsuccessful and compromised data quality.

A new approach was required.

The new approach consisted of two separate Noise Rejection techniques:

1. A hardware component consisting of an RF filter implemented into the antennas receiver electronics



 A software component consisting of antenna specific coefficients Antenna specific coefficients remove the undesirable effects introduced by the hardware filter.





Characterizing and Evaluating Interference

Data Collection Vehicle Configured with 3 Antennas:

- Conventional 4105 horn antenna
- 4105NR horn antenna with interference rejection technology
- Interference measurement antenna (measures environmental noise)



Data Collection Route



- Route chosen to include noisiest locations (around Boston)
- Radio interference automatically measured and logged using a spectrum analyzer and GPS
- Conventional and interference rejecting RADAR measurements are recorded



Data Analysis

RADAR data was classified as:

- Excellent : noise level is <-44 dB
- Acceptable : noise level is -44 to -38dB
- Marginal: noise level is -38 to -32dB
- Unusable: noise level is >-32 dB



Excellent and acceptable useful for 1" layer thickness resolution



Results

- Marginal/acceptable data is correlated with the interference source
- TV, FM broadcasting is primary cause
- Some very localized noise due to cellular base stations



• Patented technique relies on both hardware and real time signal processing algorithms:



Raw Data



Processed Data



Raw Data





Processed Data



2 GHz Air-Launched Antenna with Noise Rejection

Availability:

As a new antenna – GSSI Model 4105NR (Noise Rejection)

As a retrofit: For S/N 30 (~Feb 05) and up



Conclusions

- New interference rejecting technology retains advantages of horn antennas
- Allows operation in noisy environments
- Most interference due to FM broadcast towers
- Operation of 4105NR is transparent and interference rejection occurs in real time (not post processing)





