



Improving and Maintaining the Alignment between Pavement Condition Rating and Maintenance and Rehabilitation Recommendations

Bill Eshbaugh, P.E. Eastern Federal Lands Highway Division October 26, 2007



U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration Eastern Federal Lands Highway





National Park Service

- 9,550 Miles of Paved Roads and Parkways
- All 50 States, DC, PR, VI, Guam and American Samoa
- Data Collection began in the late 1970s
- PMS Development Began in 2004
- One Cycle of Data collected every 3-5 years
- Data is collected by the FLHD Road Inventory Program (RIP)



U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration Eastern Federal Lands Highway



Automated Data Collection Methods



- Roughness/Rutting collected onboard
- Pavement Images recorded and used for automated crack detection and features inventory
- 100% Sampling in the Primary Lane
- Equipment updated to State of the Art in 2010



U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration Eastern Federal Lands Highway



Objectives of Study



6

U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration Eastern Federal Lands Highway

Process to Achieve Objectives Technical Development

- Identify and Document Issues
 - Review Historical RIP Data
 - Compare Data with Historical HPMA Analyses
- Review Data Collection history for FLH/NPS
 - Changes in Methodology
 - Changes in Equipment
- Expert Opinion Feedback
- Identify Improvement Strategies
- Test Improvement Strategies
- Implementation





U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration Eastern Federal Lands Highway



Pavement Condition Rating

Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)

Roughness Condition Index (RCI)Surface Condition Rating (SCR)



U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration Eastern Federal Lands Highway



0

U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration Eastern Federal Lands Highway



Surface Condition Rating

A Rating to indicate the total level of distress on the surface and is a combination of severity and extents of five surface distresses



Distress	Severity Based on	Extents Based on		
Alligator Cracking	Development of Crack Pattern	Area Measurement		
Longitudinal Cracking	Crack Width	Total Crack Length divided by segment length		
Transverse Cracking	Crack Width	Total Crack Length divided by segment width		
Patching	Any	Area Measurement		
Rutting	Depth Measurement	Number of Ruts Measured		



U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration Eastern Federal Lands Highway



TA

Surface Condition Rating

- The Maximum Allowable Extents (MAE) is the failure limit established for each distress severity level
- Each severity level's MAE determines the overall weight in the SCR formula.
- Once a distress reaches the MAE, this should mean rehabilitation is the only recourse

U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration Eastern Federal Lands Highway



Improving the PCR

To be able to effectively differentiate needs between one section of highway and another, those factors which most directly influence selection, scope and costs must be appropriately represented in the overall condition rating.





U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration Eastern Federal Lands Highway



What's Driving the PCR?





U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration Eastern Federal Lands Highway



What's Driving Scope and Costs?

Engineer's Decision Drivers				
Low Drivers	High Drivers			
Rutting	Fatigue/Alligator Cracking			
Longitudinal Cracking	Transverse Cracking			
Roughness (Low Speed)	Roughness (High Speed)			
Patching				







U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration Eastern Federal Lands Highway



Rating/Design Compatibility





U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration Eastern Federal Lands Highway



Where can we improve?





U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration Eastern Federal Lands Highway



Rutting Index

Grand Teton – 2008 Rut Index Vs AVG Rut Depth





U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration Eastern Federal Lands Highway



Rutting Index

Determinations from Index/Quantity Relationship

- Failure Occurs too soon
- Shape of Curve is undesirable (too much early drop-off and too little late drop-off)

Modifications

Align severity levels with condition category



Low severity = Good, Mod severity = Fair, High Severity = Poor



U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration Eastern Federal Lands Highway



Rutting Index

GRTE – 2008 Modified Rut Index Vs AVG Rut Depth





U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration Eastern Federal Lands Highway



Cracking Indexes

Analysis Approach

- View Existing Cracking Data
- Verify Crack Detection Accuracy
 - Ground Truth Data Collection
- Determine when rehabilitation needs arise in terms of the MAE's
 - Obtain Expert Opinion



U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration Eastern Federal Lands Highway



Cracking Indexes

Existing Cracking Data

- Alligator Cracking
 - Crack Detection Accurately identifies crack areas
 - PMS Dynamic Sectioning dilutes highly distressed areas
- Longitudinal Cracking
 - Most identified LC is early stage fatigue cracking
- Transverse Cracking
 - Too many severity types



U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration Eastern Federal Lands Highway

Cracking Distress Modifications

	MAE's	Low	Moderate	High	Effect
	Proposed	35%	15%	5%	
	Proposed	175%	75%	25%	
	Proposed	5 feet	24 feet	40 feet	



U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration Eastern Federal Lands Highway

Surface Condition Rating Proposed Modification

- Combine Longitudinal and Alligator into a Rating for Structural Distress (Structural Crack Index)
- Allow the lowest (Dominant) distress to equal the SCR (Currently we combine all distresses)
- SCR for an segment or section will be the lowest out of the following:
 - Structural Crack Index
 - Transverse Crack Index
 - Patching Index
 - Rutting Index

U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration Eastern Federal Lands Highway





Roughness Condition Index

Changes in Reporting Method

New Guidelines will be implemented as to where and when to report RCI. No RCI Reported when:

- Posted Speed limit is <25 mph for a majority of the overall length
- Route Length is <0.5 mi</p>
- Judgment call by the data collection crew where safe vehicle operation would be exceeded by achieving the required collection speed.



U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration Eastern Federal Lands Highway



PCR Drivers after Modifications





Accessing America's Treasures

U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration Eastern Federal Lands Highway

Tying Condition Description to M&R Needs

100 **GOOD/Preventive Maintenance** Increasing 90 **Pavement Condition Rating** 80 FAIR/Light Rehabilitation 70 **Treatment Costs** 60 50 **POOR/Heavy Rehabilitation** 40 30 20 Reconstruction 10 0 **Pavement Age**

Condition Categories and Treatments



U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration Eastern Federal Lands Highway

Measureable Results from Ground Truth

□ Current ■ Modified 100% 75% 50% 95% 78% **69**% 54% 25% 51% 19% 0% Good Match Fair Match Poor Match Out of 74 Sections Out of 69 Sections Out of 45 Sections **Preventive Maintenance Light Rehab Heavy Rehab**

U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration Eastern Federal Lands Highway

