

VEHICLE TERRAIN PERFORMANCE LABORATORY

Transitioning from Profiles to Surfaces



Heather Chemistruck Ph.D. Student Mechanical Engineering Vehicle Terrain Performance Lab

October 25, 2010

Outline

Motivation Background Developing a Compact Model Conclusions

WirginiaTech







Heather Chemistruck Graduate Research Assistant www.me.vt.edu/VTPL

Motivation

WirginiaTech

- Various measurement systems available
 - Point Lasers
 - Scanning Lasers
- Sample terrain surface at discrete locations



Background: Vehicle Terrain Measurement System

Scanning Laser

 Provides relative height measurement

Inertial Navigation System

- Differential GPS + Inertial Measurement Unit
- Establishes global coordinate system
- Mitigates body motion



WirginiaTech





Slide 4

Vehicle Terrain Performance Laboratory

Background: Coordinate System

VirginiaTech

Horizontal Plane

• Map point-cloud data to uniform grid \rightarrow Defined by Vehicle Path (u)



Background: Coordinate System

WirginiaTech

True Surface

- i: longitudinal location of transverse profile, where $i \in \{0, 1, ..., m\}$
- j: transverse location of longitudinal profile, where $j \in \{0, 1, ..., n\}$



WirginiaTech

Empirical Basis Vectors

- Singular Value Decomposition
- Principal directions = Primary terrain characteristics:
 - Elevation
 - Bank Angle
 - Rutting





Heather Chemistruck Graduate Research Assistant www.me.vt.edu/VTPL

- Basis vectors of paved surfaces exhibit consistent shapes
- Goal: Portable and compact method to represent terrain surfaces $nC_n^{(\lambda)}(x) = 2(n + \lambda 1)xC_{n-1}^{(\lambda)}(x) (n + 2\lambda 2)C_{n-2}^{(\lambda)}(x)$



WirginiaTech

Surfaces vs. Profiles



WirginiaTech

Defining the Truncated Surface

• Summation of $\sigma_{i,l}$ multiplied by a truncated set of basis vectors



₩VirginiaTech

Proof of Concept

Longitudinally tined jointed concrete: MnRoad, MN





Heather Chemistruck

Graduate Research Assistant www.me.vt.edu/VTPL



WirginiaTech

Insensitivity to number and location of discrete samples

• 0.0255% difference between components of terrain



Proof of Concept

- Truncated surfaces for $\sigma_{i,l}$ 1 and 2
- Converges to true terrain surface if all $\sigma_{i,l}$ are deterministic



www.me.vt.edu/VTPL



v(j)

Si

u(i)

International Roughness Index

- 0.138% difference between *mean of wheel paths* and *elevation* component of terrain
- ~1.5% difference between wheel paths

	IRI
Elevation Component of Terrain	0.5756
Left Wheel Path Longitudinal Profile	0.5680
Right Wheel Path Longitudinal Profile	0.5848
Mean of Wheel Paths	0.5764

Heather Chemistruck Graduate Research Assistant www.me.vt.edu/VTPL



Conclusions

WirginiaTech

800,000 points



2 basis vectors + 2 terrain components \rightarrow 20,000 points



Ref: 2 terrain profiles \rightarrow 20,000 points



Heather Chemistruck

Graduate Research Assistant www.me.vt.edu/VTPL



Conclusions

WirginiaTech

Terrain surfaces can be compactly represented

- Empirical basis vectors (describe principal direction)
- $\sigma_{i,l}$ (captures the contribution of each basis vector in the surface)

Principal Directions can be discretized according to measurement system

IRI more representative of roughness of surface when based on *elevation component of terrain*

Heather Chemistruck Graduate Research Assistant www.me.vt.edu/VTPL

