



Costa Rica experience in the application of the IRI parameter as criteria for the acceptance of road maintenance and rehabilitation projects

> By Ing. Luis Diego Herra G. University of Costa Rica, LanammeUCR. Technical Audit Unit



### About LanammeUCR



#### National Laboratory of Materials and Structural Models

UNIVERSIDAD DE

**COSTA RICA** 



#### Law number 8814

Evaluation of the entire paved national network.

Research programs on the problems of paved road infrastructure in the country.

Training and accreditation programs for laboratory technicians and field inspectors.

Technical audits of projects in execution.



#### **National Paved Road Network in CRC**



**PE 2019** 

Allows to connect the farthest regions of the country with the main cities, the **Costa Rican road network is one of the densest in America (0.7 km / km2).** 

Having a dense Road Network implies a challenge for decision makers in the transport sector in Costa Rica.



More kilometers to attend



### Manteinance scheme in Costa Rica

It is based on the payment for activities through unit prices. Where private companies compete to opt for the maintenance of one of the 22 conservation areas that divide the country.



Since this contracting system is based on unit prices, it became necessary to implement quality control systems such as IRI.



#### Background



Started the implementation of the International Roughness Index (IRI) parameter as a criterion for the acceptance of road maintenance and rehabilitation projects, such as asphalts overlays and rehabilitations with cement treated bases.



#### **IRI specification: Two cases**



**PE 2019** 

Rehabilitation

**Overlay** 



### **Contents of the investigation**

This research collects and analyzes information about the roughness of all the asphalt overlays and cement treated bases that were developed from 2016 to 2018.

Comparison between the roughness in projects executed before and after the specification (rehabilitations and overlays). Effect of the specification	Specification compliance percentages. To determine if the specification is achievable	Comparison between the surface roughness calculations made by LanammeUCR against a sample of roughness calculations made by every quality control laboratory hired by the Administration. <b>To determine if they are</b> <b>not benefiting the</b> <b>contractor</b>	Analysis of the influence of the slope and curves in the IRI values. Its more difficult to build and overlay in a mountain route.
--	---	---	--



Comparison between the roughness in projects executed before and after the specification (rehabilitations and overlays). Effect of the specification





### **IRI Before and After the specification**

#### **Case 1: Rehabilitation**





### **IRI Before and After the specification**

#### **Case 2: Overlays**



- After the specification
- Before the specification





Specification compliance percentages. To determine if the specification is achievable We found that the compliance percentages were fine, up to 90 %, except in one case.

In overlays, when we had sections of the road with good initial IRI values, less tan 2,5 m/km.

Why? Because after placing the overlay, they need to accomplish an IRI value equal or better than the initial IRI.





#### Specification compliance percentages in overlays: Case 1 At least keep initial IRI



- Compliance percentage
- Average improvement percentage

### **Overview of IRI in overlay projects**



Comparison between the surface roughness calculations made by LanammeUCR against a sample of roughness calculations made by every quality control laboratory hired by the Administration. To determine if they are not benefiting the contractor



### **Comparison: LanammeUCR / QC labs**



### **Comparison: LanammeUCR / QC labs**







Analysis of the influence of the slope and curves in the IRI values. Its more difficult to build and overlay in a mountain route.



# Influence of the slope and curves in the IRI values

Average IRI values:

No curves	Smooth curves	Sharp curves	Very sharp curves
2,06 (0,97)	2,74 (1,12)	3,10 (1,09)	3,04 (1,12)
2,20 (1,00)	2,86 (1,16)	2,93 (1,09)	2,80 (0,96)
2,74 (1,19)	2,92 (1,05)	2,64 (0,91)	2,71 (0,96)
2,46 (1,11)	2,79 (1,27)	2,83 (0,94)	3,41 (1,44)
	2,06 (0,97) 2,20 (1,00) 2,74 (1,19)	No curves curves   2,06 (0,97) 2,74 (1,12)   2,20 (1,00) 2,86 (1,16)   2,74 (1,19) 2,922 (1,05)	No curves Sharp curves   2,06 (0,97) 2,74 (1,12) 3,10 (1,09)   2,20 (1,00) 2,86 (1,16) 2,93 (1,09)   2,74 (1,19) 2,92 (1,05) 2,64 (0,91)

(Jiménez, 2019)

### Conclusions

- After the IRI specification it was observed and improvement in the surface roughness of maintenance projects. **50 % in rehabilitations** and **29 % in overlays.**
- A difference between 0 and 0.1 was observed between the IRI measurements made between LanammeUCR and the rest of the laboratories





### Conclusions

- There is a relation between the road geometry and the roughness, slope and curves could affect the constructive process and consequently affect the IRI of the road.
- The roughness affectation during construction is bigger when there is a combination of slopes and curves.





## Thank you!

luis.herragomez@ucr.ac.cr



