

Using Automatically Collected Data to Improve Pavement Performance Evaluation and Rehabilitation Programming

Li Ningyuan and Stephen Lee Ontario Ministry of Transportation

Pavement Evaluation and RPUG 2014 Blacksburg, Virginia



Highlights of Presentation

- Changing Data Collection Methods at MTO
- More Objective Pavement Evaluation and Condition Assessment Reporting
- Practical Applications of the Data Collected
- Ongoing Process for Validating ARAN Outputs
- Summary and Discussions



Changing Data Collection Methods

- Before 1970's: Manual or Visual Collection of Pavement surface distresses, rutting, riding quality data
- > After 1980's:
 - PURD and ARAN to collect Riding Quality
 - Manual methods for rutting and surface distresses
- After 1990's
 - □ ARAN to collect Riding Quality and Rutting data
 - Manual methods for surface distresses
- After 2000's
 - ARAN to collect Riding Quality and Rutting data
 - Manual and semi-automated methods for surface distresses
- After 2010's Fully automated/objective data collection





1		1	I	
198	30	1990	2000	2010

Four Generations of MTO ARAN System



E PDDC V.2.7.1.0

File Edit Subject Record Reports Tools Hel	р			
Section Search Tick-sheet View of Section Section Attribut	es	Pavement Distress	Shoulder Distress Maintenance Treatment	
Survey Month/Year : 6 2006 Evaluator : Todd Filson Under Construction 677		FLEXIBI	E PAVEMENT CONDITION EVALUATION	SEVERITY OF SEVERITY OF
HWY : 41		AC-P/	AVEMENT DISTRESS TYPES	DISTRESS DISTRESS 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5
LHRS : 29610		SURFACE	Ravelling and Course Aggregate Loss	
Offset: 4		DEFECTS	Flushing	0
Direction: B BOTH			Rippling and Shoving	
Class: A ARTERIAL		SURFACE DEFORMATIONS	Wheel Track Rutting	
Distance From: 108.62 To : 121.02			Distortion	3
From : DENBIGH LAKE RD	CB	LONGITUDINAL WHEEL TRACK	Single and Multiple	2 4
To : 6.6 KM N OF HWY 28			Alligator	2 3
Reg : Eastern Dist Bancroft			Single and Multiple	2
		CENTRELINE	Alligator	
(Maximum - 255 Characters)	AC	PAVEMENT	Single and Multiple	1
Consider Micro or Ultrtathin in future. Cracks are beyond the R&S window.	Z - X	EDGE	Alligator	
		TRANSFERSE	Half, Full and Multiple	2 5
		TRANSVERSE	Alligator	
		Longitudinal Me	ander and Midlane	2 4
Indexes/Ratios :		Random		
PCI: 76 RCI: 7.57 DMI: 8.05 PCR: 83 RCR: 7.9 IRI: 1.36				Re-Set All Distress To Zero



Distress Manifestation Index (DMI)

$$DMI = \sum_{i=1}^{15} w_i (s_i + e_i)$$

i = distress type i w_i = weighting factor assigned to distress i s_i = severity of distress i e_i = extent of distress i

There are about 15 individual distresses

The DMI was reported on pavement sections ranging from 500 to 500,000 meters in the past



Current MTO ARAN/LCMS

Is able to identify and report 8 individual distresses with 6 quantitative metrics at every 10 meters

Eight Individual Distresses:

- 1. Midlane Single & Multiple Cracking
- 2. Single & Multiple Pavement Edge Cracking
- 3. Longitudinal Wheel Track Cracking
- 4. Single & Multiple Transverse Cracking
- 5. Centre Line Single & Multiple Cracking
- 6. Centre Line Alligator Cracking
- 7. Wheel Path Alligator Cracking
- 8. Alligator Pavement Edge Cracking

- Quantitative Metrics
 - 1. Extent (m)
 - 2. Count
 - 3. Area (m²)
 - 4. Length (m)
 - 5. Width (m)
 - 6. Transverse Extent (m)



Distresses not be identified by ARAN

Individual Distresses for Asphalt Concrete (AC) Pavement	ARAN/LCMS Capability
Ravelling and Coarse Aggregate Loss	x
Flushing	x
Rippling and Shoving	X
Wheel Track Rutting	✓
Distortion	X
Longitudinal Wheel Track: Sing. / Multi.	1
Longitudinal Wheel Track: Alligator	1
Longitudinal Meandering and Midlane	1
Transverse: Half, Full and Multiple	1
Transverse: Alligator	x
Centreline: Single and Multiple	1
Centreline: Alligator	1
Pavement Edge: Single and Multiple	1
Pavement Edge: Alligator	1
Random/Map	x

- Of the 15 individual distresses known to effect AC pavements the ARAN registers eight
- Ravelling and Course Aggregate Loss, Distortion, and Flushing have been omitted. Texture data is collected but not readily usable
- Map and random cracks are reclassified as alligator cracks
- Rutting data will be used as an independent component in PCI Calculation



An Example of Reporting an Identified Distress by ARAN/LCMS

Identification Metrics	Slight	Moderate	Severe
Count	2	1	1
Crack Area (m ²)	1.59	1.44	0.23
Length (m)	5	3	2.5
Extent (m)	2.6	1.8	1.5
Transverse Extent (m)	1	0.8	0.5
Width (m)	0.004	0.012	0.025

- When multiple cracks of the same type are evident then aggregation is applied to sum the identified distresses occurs in different severities
- Crack length and area are summed, crack width is averaged.
- Count represents the number of cracks identified. For alligator cracking, count represents the number of times the distress appears.



MTO ARAN Data Collection and Process Workflow





Zones Defined for Distress Data Collecting, Evaluation and Reporting





Pavement Distress Index (DMI)

$$DMI_{long} = 100 \times \left(1 - \frac{\sum_{i}^{4} W(LongitudinalExtent)}{4 \times SectionLength}\right)$$
$$DMI_{trans} = 100 \times \left(1 - \frac{\sum_{i}^{1} W_{i}(TransverseExtent)}{3.6}\right)$$
$$DMI_{gator} = 100 \times \left(1 - \frac{\sum_{i}^{3} W_{i}(AlligatorCrackArea)}{3.6 \times SectionLength}\right)$$

 $DMI = (a \times DMI_{long}) + (b \times DMI_{trans}) + (c \times DMI_{gator})$



Calculation of DMI Defined in MTO ARAN

With 3 separate calculations yielding 3 DMI values for each classified DMI subcomponents, an overall DMI value is calculated in the following formula:

$$DMI = (a \times DMI_{long}) + (b \times DMI_{trans}) + (c \times DMI_{gator})$$

(where A/B/C are factored in such as A + B + C = 1)

Adjustable series of a / b / c weighting factors were examined for many scenarios by using 2013 ARAN data. DMI module such as 0.40 / 0.40 / 0.20 was used for long/trans/gator cracking, and 0.80 / 1.00 / 1.00 was used for the severity distinction calculation component (slight, moderate and severe).



Pavement Condition Index (PCI)

- A PCI value ranges from 0 to 100, with 100 representing perfect pavement condition, and 0 representing the poorest condition
- PCI is a function of IRI, DMI, RUT independent variables and it is calculated as:

$$PCI = (\alpha \times IRI) + (\beta \times DMI) + (\gamma \times RUT)$$

(where α , β and γ are coefficients such that $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 1$)

The weighting factors are analyzed to adjust PCI values in consideration of historical pavement performance values



Overview of Formulae Developed

 $PCI = (0.70 \times IRI_{scaled}) + (0.20 \times DMI) + (0.10 \times RUT_{scaled})$

$$IRI_{scaled} = \max\left[0, \ 100 \times \left(1 - \frac{IRI}{5}\right)\right]$$

 $DMI = \max \left[0, \left(\left(0.4 \times DMI_{long} \right) + \left(0.5 \times DMI_{trans} \right) + \left(0.1 \times DMI_{gator} \right) \right) \right]$

$$\blacksquare RUT_{scaled} = \max\left[0,100 \times \left(1 - \frac{RUT}{30}\right)\right]$$



MTO ARAN System Functions

- High-speed collection of road location and condition data
 - Right of Way Asset Data Collection Road Asset Management
 - Pavement Surface Profiles IRI and RUT
 - Pavement Distresses LCMS Integration
 - Road Location GPS and Map
 - Performance Assessment Reporting



- Automatic Process of Integrated Pavement Location and Condition Evaluation Data – Vision Software
- Analysis Result Data Generating and Reporting iVision Website



Example of Web Deployment Software (iVision)

@ Woion Home	- Microsoft Intern	et Explorer prov	ided by the N	Anishty of 1	lansportation	2		0 X
Q	hmm://142.107.6	1.49 inisian, der	no/#/Home				• 14 🗙 🚾 Bog	ρ.
+ Favortes	a D Web	Size Gallery •						
Histon Hom							Sp - El - Cl 🚔 - Espir Shity - To	ni • 🕢 - "
former Pager	ware Wision						7667 * - * Level 20 * 9, 🙋 🔤 💼 🌆 KK (* 10 * 11 * 11 * 12 Linear/Reference 27454.544 *	
Segmenta						• *	10w • X Parturaldight	- x
Wingman V	Rep:Charape V	EntDaneps V	Environ V	Y step.	meeting Y	V felened		
33	28000.000	27955.000	141.471	0.961	207.637	588		
34	27950.000	27900.000	143.729	0.068	212.418	5.152		
37.	17800.000	27630.000	141.417	0.459	217.376	1.204		
	77800.000	111100,000	144.415	0.425	111.273			1000
40	27750.000	27700.000	144.755	6.569	223-872	1.445	and a second sec	States of
41	27700.000	27630.000	143.078	0.5+8	226.311			
42	27650.000	27600.000	144.421	0.405	114-011	1097		
41	27600.000	27550.000	143.716	0.428	226.179	1.308 1		1000
++	27550-000	27500.000	142.429	4.393	225-722	140 (Constant of
41	27999.008	274303000	343.351	8.465	115-690			1.0.2
(D) [15]								CONTRACTOR
(U) (P)								
					Restore		Therefore the second s	
Done							🔍 Local intranet Protected Medie: Off 🦷 👍 🔹	.85% •



Sample Reports Generated by ARAN/LCMS Vision

Microsoft Excel - SENSOR DATA-Metric_10.csv																
:2)	<u>E</u> ile <u>E</u> dit	<u>V</u> iew <u>I</u> nse	ert F <u>o</u> rma	at <u>T</u> ools <u>D</u> a	ata <u>W</u> indow	ı <u>H</u> elp							Тур	e a question f	or help 🛛 👻	_ 8 ×
1	🗋 😂 🔄 🛃 💁 💁 🖏 🐇 🐁 🖎 - 🛷 🔊 - 🔍 - 🧏 🗴 🖓 100 - 🔍 - 🖓															
Aria	al	- 10	- B	I ∐ ≣	= = =	\$ %	• •.0 .00 •.• 00.		🛛 + 🖄 +	<u>A - </u>						
	N54	• ;	f 181.96	60532400306												
	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0	F
1	IDLocator	L_HWY	L_Dir	L_Fac	UniqueRun	Collection	DCSTimeS	IDSession	BeginDista	EndDistan	BeginChai	EndChaina	Status	Elevation	Grade	Head
2	2	6	N	A	1A602M00	1742	#########	3	0	8.190501	0	10	Matched	173.3751	-2.04299	283.
3	2	6	N	A	1A602M00	1742	##########	3	8.190501	16.381	10	20	Matched	173.7658	-2.66501	282.
4	2	6	N	A	1A602M00	1742	#########	3	16.381	24.5715	20	30	Matched	174.1564	-2.18515	281
5	2	6	N	A	1A602M00	1742	#########	3	24.5715	32.76201	30	40	Matched	174.547	-1.78994	279.
6	2	6	N	A	1A602M00	1742	#########	3	32.76201	40.95251	40	50	Matched	174.9376	-1.75291	2/8
	2	6	N	A	1A602M00	1/42	*****	3	40.95251	49.14301	50	60	Matched	1/5.3282	-1.31677	276.
8	2	6	N	A	1A602M00	1/42	*****	3	49.14301	57.33351	60	70	Matched	1/5./188	-1.02126	275.
9	2	6	N	A	1A602M00	1/42	*****	3	57.33351	65.52401	70	80	Matched	176.1095	-1.28006	273.
10	2	6	N	A	1A6021000	1742	*********	3	72 71451	73.71451	80	90	Matched	176.5001	-1.25979	272.
11	2	0	N	A	146021000	1742	******	3	21 00501	81.90501	90	100	Matched	170.0907	-1.22515	271.
12	2	0	N	A	146021000	1742		2	00.00552	90.09552	110	120	Matched	177 6710	1 27001	270.
14	2	6	N	~	146021000	1742	######################################	3	90.09552	106 4765	120	120	Matched	178.0625	1 46778	269
15	2	6	N	2	146021000	1742		3	106 4765	114 667	120	140	Matched	178 4532	1 26996	268
16	2	6	N	Â	14602M00	1742	****	3	114 667	122 8575	140	140	Matched	178 8438	-1 17782	267
17	2	6	N	Δ	1A602M00	1742	***	3	122 8575	131 048	140	160	Matched	179 2344	-1.09857	267
18	2	6	N	A	1A602M00	1742	##########	3	131 048	139 2385	160	170	Matched	179 625	-0.9967	267
19	2	6	N	A	1A602M00	1742	#########	3	139.2385	147,429	170	180	Matched	180.0156	-0.94662	266
20	2	6	N	A	1A602M00	1742	#########	3	147.429	155.6195	180	190	Matched	180,4062	-0.72685	266.
21	2	6	N	A	1A602M00	1742	#########	3	155.6195	163.81	190	200	Matched	180,7969	-0.67317	266.
22	2	6	N	A	1A602M00	1742	##########	3	163.81	172.0005	200	210	Matched	181.1875	-0.70178	266.
23	2	6	N	A	1A602M00	1742	##########	3	172.0005	180.191	210	220	Matched	181.5532	-0.85455	266.
24	2	6	N	A	1A602M00	1742	#########	3	180.191	188.3815	220	230	Matched	181.5707	-0.533	266.
25	2	6	N	A	1A602M00	1742	#########	3	188.3815	196.572	230	240	Matched	181.5963	-0.40771	266.
26	2	6	N	A	1A602M00	1742	##########	3	196.572	204.7625	240	250	Matched	181.6031	-0.46196	267.
27	2	6	N	A	1A602M00	1742	#########	3	204.7625	212.953	250	260	Matched	181.6159	-0.51105	267.
28	2	6	N	A	1A602M00	1742	#########	3	212.953	221.1435	260	270	Matched	181.6286	-0.50006	267.
29	2	6	N	A	1A602M00	1742	#########	3	221.1435	229.334	270	280	Matched	181.6414	-0.48452	267.
30	2	6	N	A	1A602M00	1742	*****	3	229.334	237.5245	280	290	Matched	181.6541	-0.56733	267.
31	2	6	N	A	1A602M00	1742	#########	3	237.5245	245.715	290	300	Matched	181.6669	-0.45968	267.
32	2	6	N	A	1A602M00	1742	#########	3	245.715	253.9055	300	310	Matched	181.6797	-0.49102	267.
33	2	6	N	A	1A602M00	1742	#########	3	253.9055	262.096	310	320	Matched	181.6924	-0.61326	267.
34	2	6	N	A	1A602M00	1/42	*****	3	262.096	270.2865	320	330	Matched	181.7052	-0.84999	267.
35	2	6	N	A	1A6021000	1/42	*******	3	270.2865	2/8.4//	330	340	Matched	181.718	-0.73262	267.
36	2	6	IN N	A	1A602M00	1/42	*****	3	218.411	200.6675	340	350	Matched	181.7307	-0.84849	267.
3/	2	6	IN N	A 	1460210100	1742	*******	3	200.00/5	294.8581	350	360	Matched	101.7435	-0.86/2	268.
20	2	0	N	A .	1460210100	1742	**********	3	202 0496	211 2204	270	370	Matched	101.7503	-0.14467	270
40	2	0	N	A .	146021000	1742	*******	3	311 2301	319 / 296	320	300	Matched	181 7819	-0.61377	270.
40	2	0	N	Δ	146021000	1742	******	3	319 / 206	327 6201	300	400	Matched	181 7946	-0.56725	271
41	2	0	N	Δ	1A602M00	1742	##########	3	327 6201	335 8106	400	400	Matched	181 8073	-1 0003	271
14 4	E E SE		A-Metric	10/	17 100210100	1142		5	521.0201	<	400	410	matcheu	101.0075	-1.0003	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·)			

Ready



Image and Reporting Data by ARAN/LCMS Vision





Data Collection Applications

Before 2013

- Pavement management at network Level (for projects planning and budgeting)
- Data summarized and reported by pre-defined sections (ranging from 500 meters to 500,000 meters)

After 2013

- Pavement management at network Level
- Data Accessed by MTO Functional Offices or Users via iVision Web-browser Program (project level)
- Management of 7 Year Performance-based maintenance contracts (Maintenance and Rehabilitation Programs)
- Data summarized and reported by 50 meters



Pavement Performance Reporting

- All individual distresses and performance indices (IRI, DMI, RUT and PCI) are to be reported in 50 meter intervals
- All individual distresses and performance indices (IRI, DMI, RUT and PCI) are to be reported in every 500 meters for warranty projects
- 3. All individual distresses and performance indices will be monitored and reported in 150 meters to manage performance-based long-term maintenance contracts





IRI at 50m per Section





IRI at 500m per Section





IRI at 3000m per Section





IRI at 10000m per Section





Data Validation Testing Sites

- Patrol yard used to verify and validate individual distresses reported manually vs ARAN/LCMS
- Highway used to compare evaluation report on Rutting and individual distresses
- Artificial Saw-cut cracks used to verify distress classification and evaluation reporting









Due to time constraint, 90 m was evaluated without zones.













Ruts Measured on Right and Left Wheel Paths

Right (ARAN)	Manual	Left (ARAN)	Manual
3.99	Х	2.26	Х
4.00	Х	2.25	Х
3.95	2.00	2.10	3.00
4.51	2.00	1.76	3.00
5.80	5.00	2.27	3.00
6.091	5.00	2.39	3.00
4.705	3.00	1.95	3.00
4.09	4.00	2.34	3.00
4.94	3.00	2.37	3.00
4.46	4.00	2.76	3.00







Summary of MTO Data Collection

- Moving towards fully automated data collection and evaluation of pavement conditions at network level
- More objective assessment of pavement condition indexes (IRI, DMI, RDI, PCI) becomes available for pavement management
- Extended applications to manage quality of performance-based long-term pavement maintenance contracts
- Improving maintenance and rehabilitation (M&R) treatments programming and investment planning in more reliable, expeditious and cost-effective manner



QUESTIONS?

Li Ningyuan Ontario Ministry of Transportation Li.Ningyuan@ontario.ca