NATIONAL SURFACE TRANSPORTATION SAFETY CENTER FOR EXCELLENCE

> SAFETY DEVICES AND TECHNIQUES THAT ENHANCE DRIVER PERFORMANCE



## Overview

- Motorcycles naturalistic preparation
- 100 car driver ID assessment
- Using naturalistic data to assess driver behavior in crash "hot spots"
- Road side naturalistic data
- Public Access Website
- Texting

# Naturalistic Observation of Motorcycle Riders

- Goal: Pursue methods (sensors and analyses) to support naturalistic research into motorcycle crash causation.
  - Questionnaires assessing instrumentation acceptance
  - Refining and developing additional instrumentation and enclosures
- Completed a NHTSA funded feasibility study to conduct motorcycle naturalistic research
- Kicked off MSF funded a three site Naturalistic Motorcycle study
- Example Bike in Demo area

VTTI NextGen



# **100 Car Driver ID Reanalysis**

- Project goal was to build a complete trip file inventory for the 100-Car dataset.
- Each trip file in the 100-Car dataset was viewed by data reductionists
  - Driver ID (with new IDs created as new secondary drivers were found),
  - Ambient Lighting,
  - Driver Seatbelt Usage,
  - and an assessment of video operations/quality.
- Improves power if you know who is driving



	Primary Drivers	Secondary Drivers	Total
# of Drivers	108	299	407
Total # of Trip Files	139,367	17,270	156,637
Total # of Driving Days	24,189	4,708	28,897
Total Miles Driven	1,119,202	137,376	1,256,578





## **Driver Behavior in Crash Hot Spots**

## Can Naturalistic Data Provide Insights if there is no Crash or Near Crash?





## Integration of GIS Techniques with Naturalistic Data

- Maps provide a reference for integration of data from many sources.
- Provides more complete picture of the surroundings through which the vehicle passes.
- Permits investigation of interactions between the driver and many factors.
- Permits isolation of many sources of variance in driver behavior and performance.









### Preprocessing for Location Based Analyses



- Down-sampling of route data
- Followed by location
  based summary of
  routes

#### Permits:

- Rapid visual review and exploration
- Faster analyses
- Within driver or
  between driver
  comparisons while
  holding location
  constant

100-Car trips down-sampled to 81 million "bread crumbs", then processed to summarize number of trips through area: 1 - 2-99 - 100-199 - 200-299 - 300+

### Example: Analysis of Trips Through a Location



Intersections ranked by rate of injuries & fatalities then coded by number of 100-Car trips through intersection

•1-478 • 479-848 • 849-1143 •1144-1646 •1647-3445



## **Results and Future**

Results: Not as insightful as hoped

Future: Still think the approach has merit and plan to try again with SHRP2 data

## Data Mining of Roadside Observational Data at Intersections







Virginia Tech TRANSPORTATION INSTITUTE Driving Transportation with Technology

## Data Mining of Roadside Observational Data at Intersections

#### Goal

- Identify factors that are related to violation propensity at the three CICAS-V signalized intersections.
- Identify factors that lead to higher violation rates at the Independence intersection

Location	Crossings	All Violations		Only LTAP and SCP Violations	
		Frequency	per 100k crossings	Frequency	per 100k crossings
Depot	1,159,846	2,077	179	713	61
Independence	1,341,872	5,098	380	2,162	161
Peppers Ferry	3,018,456	914	30	871	29
Total	5,520,174	8,089	147	3,746	68

### **Violation Risk Across all Intersections**

Odds Ratio Estimates for Straight Crossing Path Maneuver				
Effect	Point	95% Wald		
Effect	Estimate	<b>Confidence Limits</b>		
location df vs if	0.233	0.186	0.291	
location pf vs if	0.203	0.166	0.248	
vtype bus-truck-trailer vs car-van	3.069	2.116	4.453	
vtype pickup-suv vs car-van	0.921	0.799	1.062	
lvcb LV Near Violation vs LV Compliant	3.175	0.964	10.459	
lvcb LV Violation vs LV Compliant	1.269	0.298	5.398	
lvcb No LV vs LV Compliant	1.301	1.014	1.669	
favcb FAV Near Violation vs FAV Compliant	0.686	0.346	1.358	
favcb FAV Stopped vs FAV Compliant	0.550	0.375	0.805	
favcb FAV Violation vs FAV Compliant	0.638	0.317	1.286	
favcb No FAV vs FAV Compliant	1.209	0.901	1.621	
weather cloudy vs clear	6.235	4.496	8.647	
weather rain & fog vs clear	1.098	0.743	1.623	
Time to intersection @ yellow onset	0.741	0.676	0.813	
Tvol	1.002	1.000	1.003	
Diffspeed	1.088	1.064	1.112	

## **Public Access to VTTI Data**

www.access.vtti.vt.edu forums.vtti.vt.edu



# **Project Overview**

- Goal
  - Provide public access to VTTI maintained datasets
  - Develop service processes and support elements
- Status
  - Datasets have been released
  - Support elements have been developed
  - Currently in maintenance phase

## **Content Delivery**



## Currently Available Content [Downloads]

100-Car Data	8-Truck	User Contributed
Event question reduction [33]	Event question reduction [6]	SAFER100Car [71]
Event eyeglance [24]	Event eyeglance [5]	Various SAS files [72]
Event kinematic [29]	Event kinematic [5]	
Baseline question reduction [29]	Baseline question reduction [5]	
Baseline eyeglance [11]	Baseline eyeglance [3]	
Sensor status [19]	Sensor status [2]	
Event narratives [29]	Event narratives [7]	
Baseline kinematic [NA]		
Event & baseline timestamps [13]		

## Website Usage

#### Map Overlay

Jun 17, 2010 - Aug 24, 2010 -



#### 533 visits came from 247 cities

Filtered for cities excluding "Blacksburg"

## Pageviews

#### **VTTI Data Distribution Pageviews**



## **Community Access**



# Next Steps

- Community development
  - Encourage users to participate in threaded discussions
  - Encourage users to contribute content to the community
    - Additional data such as derived measures
    - Algorithms or code used in analysis
- Citations
  - Develop a listing of publications that cite VTTI naturalistic studies or VTTI datasets
  - Encourage users to contribute citations

# SHRPII Rodeo and Pilot Data

- Proposed to TRB for VTTI data portal to provide access to SHRP II rodeo and pilot data
- The following types of files will be made available:
  - Time series data including measures from the vehicle network, accelerometers, and GPS
  - Video files
  - Calibration ("gold standard") datasets
    - Differential GPS
    - Crossbow triaxial accelerometer
- Discussion thread will be developed and moderated

# Assessment of Texting

- Goal: Test driver performance when texting using handheld phone and an in-vehicle system (Ford SYNC)
  - Vehicle system reads incoming messages aloud, permits sending "canned" replies



 20 participants drove on the Smart Road sending & receiving messages using both personal mobile phone and the vehicle system

# **Texting Results**

#### Handheld Sending:

- Longer task durations
- Higher mental demand
- More frequent, longer glances
- Degraded steering measures

#### **Vehicle System Sending:**

Better than handheld, but:

- Longer eyes-interior time than baseline
- Higher mental demand than baseline

### Handheld Receiving:

- Higher mental demand than baseline
- Longer max duration glances than baseline, over 2 seconds

#### **Vehicle System Receiving:**

• No differences found from baseline

Older drivers had more performance degradation when text messaging

Currently under review for publication to Accident Analysis
 & Prevention