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## 1. Background

In 2009, the number of traffic fatalities in Japan dropped below 5,000. The government set a target of halving the number by 2018. To reduce the accidents further, safety measures must be implemented from various perspectives that encompass drivers, vehicles and roads.


## 2. Purpose of this study

$>$ Pedestrian accidents are the most type of fatal traffic accident.
$>$ The most common driver-related factor in pedestrian accidents is drivers' failure to confirm safety. Drivers' low risk sensitivity is closely related to the occurrence of pedestrian accidents.
$>$ The purpose of this study is to propose a method of quantifying drivers' risk sensitivity to a pedestrian accident based on their driving behavior.

Breakdown of traffic accidents by type in Japan (2011)


## 3. Driving situation examined

> Focused on accidents in which vehicles traveling straight collide with pedestrians, which is a frequent pattern.
$>$ In accidents with TTC of 1 s or less, vehicles often collide with a pedestrian who appears from behind a parked vehicle when passing by the vehicle.
$>$ Defined a potential risk as one a driver cannot see because of a blind spot. In this study, tried to quantify drivers' risk sensitivity to a potential risk of a pedestrian accident when passing by a street-parked vehicle.

Vehicle velocity vs. TTC at the time a pedestrian starts to cross the road


Data source: ITARDA database

Typical example of a situation with a short TTC

Pedestrian appears from behind parked vehicle

Vehicle velocity: $35 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$;
Estimated headway: 0.5 m ;
Estimated TTC: 0.4 sec

## 4. Index of sensitivity to potential risks

The driver's estimated velocity of a hypothetical collision object:

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{p} & =D / T c \\
& =2 \cdot 0.5 \cdot \mathrm{~g} \cdot D / V_{c}
\end{aligned}
$$

$D$ : Lateral distance of the passing vehicle to the parked vehicle
$T c$ : Time limit in which it is possible to stop before a hypothetical collision point P by hard braking at 0.5 G .
$V c$ : The passing vehicle's velocity at the time limit.
Hypothetical collision object

5. Experimental procedure

Measured driving behavior when passing by a parked vehicle in a controlled environment on a proving ground course.
> Measurement situations

1. Situation using a moving collision object to simulate a pedestrian appearing from behind a street-parked vehicle
2. Situation with a parked vehicle only, representing a potential risk
> Measured data
CAN bus data, vehicle position data obtained by kinematic GPS and images of the forward view and driver's face and feet
> Participants
10 participants in each driving situation. Participants were Nissan employees ranging in age 20s - 50s and they drive regularly.
Purpose of the experiment was explained in advance and the participants gave their informed consent.
$>$ Test conditions
6 environmental setting (below) x 2 driving situations (normal / hurried) 3 driving sessions each for 10 participants.

| Road width <br> Parked vehicle position | Wide ( 8 m ) <br> Left side | Narrow (5 m) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Left side | Right side |
| With collision object |  |  |  |
| Without collision object (Potential risk situation) |  |  |  |

## 6. Results

## $>$ Participants' risk sensitivity in the situation with a collision object

$\checkmark$ Estimated velocity was higher on the narrow road than on the wide road.
$\checkmark$ It was higher for the parked vehicle on the left side than on the right side.
$\checkmark$ It was lower in hurried driving than in normal driving.
$\checkmark$ Participants' risk sensitivity was higher on the narrow road. In normal driving, they estimated the object's velocity at $10 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ (a bicycle) and in hurried driving at $6 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ (a pedestrian hurrying across the road).


Participants' estimated velocity of a hypothetical collision object in hurried driving situations with 1SD

## $>$ Participants' risk sensitivity in potential risk situations

$\checkmark$ Estimated velocity was lower than in the situation with the collision object.
$\checkmark$ Estimated velocity was the highest on the narrow road with the parked vehicle on the left side. Object's velocity was estimated at $6 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ (a pedestrian hurrying across the road).


Participants' estimated velocity of a hypothetical collision object in potential risk situations (one standard deviation)

## Comparison of individual differences among participants

$\checkmark$ No. 3 driver was lax in estimating velocity of collision object.
$\checkmark$ Drivers No. 1, 7 and 9 tended to be less strict in their velocity estimation in hurried driving.
$\checkmark$ Results suggest that drivers who tend toward unsafe driving can be identified by using their estimated velocity of a collision object in potential risk situations.


Estimated velocity of hypothetical collision object by individual participants (Narrow/left) in potential risk situations

## 7. Summary

> Proposed a method of quantifying drivers' risk sensitivity based on their driving behavior in situations of passing by a street-parked vehicle with a potential risk of a pedestrian accident.
> Quantified participants' sensitivity to potential risks based on their estimated velocity of a hypothetical collision object.
> Conducted tests on a providing ground course that reproduced a situation of driving by a street-parked vehicle. Found that participants' risk sensitivity was higher on a narrow road with a vehicle parked on the left side. Participants' risk sensitivity tended to decline in hurried driving.
> Comparison of the participants' estimated velocities of a hypothetical collision object revealed the possibility of identifying drivers who tend toward unsafe driving.

## 8. Future work

> Apply proposed risk sensitivity index to data collected in realworld driving with 100-car study and/or SHRP2. Clarify relationship between drivers' risk sensitivity and near-miss incidents and examine the feasibility of using the proposed index to make safe driving assessments.
$>$ Need to extend its application to investigations of drivers having different attributes and to other potential risk situations.
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